

EIC/GPA Midstream ESG Reporting Template

MIDSTREAM

Developed by the Energy Infrastructure Council in collaboration with GPA Midstream Association Version 2.0 Effective March 2022

Parent Company: Operating Company: Report Date:

Enterprise Products Partners L.P. Enterprise Products Operating LLC 12/18/2024

Enteprise Products Investor Relations Phone: (866) 230-0745 Email: investor.relations@eprod.com

Contact(s):

Membership: EIC, GPA Midstream, Both, Neither: Both

		Latest Year	Previous Year	Year-3	Year-2	Year-1	
Metric	Unit	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	Comments, Links, Additional Information, and Notes
Activity							
1.1 EBITDA	Acillo 110 A	0.240	0.200	0.204	0.055	8,117	Responses reflect Adjusted EBITDA. See "Investors - Non-GAAP Financial Measures" on our website (www.enterpriseproducts.com) for more information regarding Adjusted
	Million US \$	9,318	9,309	8,381	8,056		EBITDA, including reconciliation detail to the closest GAAP measure.
1.2 Gross Throughput 1.3 Miles of Pipeline (Total Pipeline)	Thousand BOE Miles	7,959,381 50,817	7,203,872 50,912	6,587,439 49,056	6,748,989 49,502	6,960,237 48,735	
1.3 whiles of repenite (10tal repenite) 1.4 Carbon Accounting Basis for Data	Operational/Equity/Financial	N/A	N/A	49,036 N/A	49,502 N/A	46,733 N/A	
Environment			,			,	
Hydrocarbon Releases							
2.1 Number of hydrocarbon liquid releases beyond secondary containment > 5 bbl	#	9	13	13	35	34	
2.2 Volume of hydrocarbon liquid releases beyond secondary containment > 5 bbl	bbls bbl/mile	206 0.01	5,683 0.01	413 0.01	3,108 0.06	622 0.01	
2.3 Hydrocarbon Liquid Releases Intensity per Mile of Pipeline - Total Emissions	DDI/MIIE	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.01	
2.4 Total GHG Emissions (Scope 1 + Scope 2) - Total	mt co2e						
2.4.1 Scope 1 GHG Emissions - Total	mt co2e						
2.4.1.1 Scope 1 CO2 Emissions - Total 2.4.1.2 Scope 1 Methane Emissions - Total	mt CO2 mt CH4						
2.4.1.2 Stope 1 witching trinsions - Total 2.4.1.3 Scope 1 Nitro Sodie Emissions - Total	mt N2O						
2.4.1.4 Percent of Scope 1 emissions that are methane	%						
2.4.2 Scope 1 GHG Emissions - EPA	mt co2e	12,578,038	12,018,050	9,960,107	10,793,440	11,425,562	Reported EPA emissions only.
2.4.2.1 Scope 1 CO2 Emissions - EPA	mt CO2	11,542,580	11,029,548	9,282,938	10,018,703	10,604,241	Reported EPA emissions only.
2.4.2.2 Scope 1 Methane Emissions - EPA	mt CH4						Reported EPA emissions only. Converted the 2019 and 2020 values to MT of CH4 as specified on this version of the template. The 2019 and 2020 versions of the template
		41,196	39,328	26,905	30,826	32,683	reported these CH4 values as CO2e. To convert MT of CH4 as CO2e to MT of CH4, the previous years emissions were divided by 25.
2.4.2.3 Scope 1 Nitrous Oxide Emissions - EPA	mt N2O	19	18	15	16	16	
2.4.3 Scope 2 GHG Emissions	mt co2e		2.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	
 Total GHG Emissions (Scope 1 + Scope 2) Intensity per Thousand BOE- Total Scope 1 Methane Emissions Intensity per ONE Future Methodology (See EIC Definitions tab for instructions) 	mt co2e/Thousand BOE	1.9	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.0	Emissions intensity reflects the total direct CO2e emissions per thousand BOE which only includes Scope 1 emissions.
2.6.1 For Transmission and Storage Sector	%						
2.6.2 For Processing Sector	%						
2.6.3 For Gathering and Boosting Sector	%						
 2.6.4 For Production Sector 2.7 Does the company participate in an external emissions reduction program? 	% Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No	
2.8 Does the company have a greenhouse gas emissions reduction target?	Yes/No	140	NO	NO	140	140	
2.9 NOx Emissions	Metric Tons						Reported emissions from facilities with Clean Air Act Title V Permits. Reporting metric tons. Note: 2019 and 2020 figures have been revised from short tonnage to metric
2.9 NOA EIRISSIONS	Wietric Tolls	7,515	7,929	8,632	8,827	8,386	tonnage.
2.10 SOx Emissions	Metric Tons	763	585	361	402	484	Reported emissions from facilities with Clean Air Act Title V Permits. Reporting metric tons. Note: 2019 and 2020 figures have been revised from short tonnage to metric tonnage.
2.11 VOC Emissions	Mahria Taura	, 03	303	301	102		Reported emissions from facilities with Clean Air Act Title V Permits. Reporting metric tons. Note: 2019 and 2020 figures have been revised from short tonnage to metric
2.11 VOLEMISSIONS	Metric Tons	3,574	3,461	3,626	3,492	3,340	tonnage.
2.12 % of electricity used that is renewable	%	26%	260/	220/	18%	17%	As a % of purchased power, estimated based on best available data from power providers. Note: 2022 figures were revised to reflect updated information.
2.13 Did the company bank GHG reductions from Carbon Capture and Storage Projects?	Yes/No	26% N/A	26% N/A	22% N/A	18% N/A	1/% N/A	We do not yet have projects that qualify
2.14 Does the company seek third party data verification for any environmental metrics?	Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No	
Asset Diversification and Biodiversity							
 2.15 Does the company participate in any efforts to expand the share of alternative/renewable energy sources in the company's portfolio? If yes, please provide links to ESG 2.16 Does the company have a biodiversity policy or commitment for new and existing assets? 	Yes/No Yes/No	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes	See EPD Sustainability Report / Supplement We are committed to restoring landscapes and preserving biodiversity. See EPD Sustainability Report / Supplement.
2.10 Does the company have a biodiversity pointy of commitment for new and easing assets: Social	163/110	163	163	163	163		The discomminuted to restoring initiatiops and preserving diductives sixy, see EFD sustainability report/ supplement.
3.1 Total Recordable Incident Rate (TRIR) - employees	#	0.42	0.33	0.38	0.48	0.8	
 3.2 Total Recordable Incident Rate (TRIR) for major growth projects - contractors 3.3 Days away, restricted or transferred (DART) - employees 	#	0.74 0.31	0.53 0.14	0.49 0.27	0.34 0.26	0.39 0.52	
3.3 Days away, restricted or transferred (DART) - employees 3.4 Days away, restricted or transferred (DART) for major growth projects - contractors	#	0.31	0.14	0.27	0.26	0.52	
3.5 Lost Time Incident Rate (LTIR) - employees	#	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.32	
3.6 Lost Time Incident Rate (LTIR) for major growth projects - contractors	#	0.11	0.08	0.15	0.13	0.06	
3.7 Fatalities - employees 3.8 Fatalities - contractors	#	0	0	0	0	0	
5.6 ratellities - Cultifacturis 3.9 Does the company have an indigenous engagement policy or commitment for new and existing assets?	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	See EPD Sustainability Report / Supplement
3.10 % workforce that is female	%	14%	14%	14%	15%	15%	
3.11 % workforce from minority groups (EEOC defined)	%	32%	32%	29%	29%	29%	
 3.12 % workforce covered under collective bargaining agreements 3.13 Does the company seek third party data verification for any social metrics? 	% Yes/No	< 1% No					
3.14 \$ invested in local communities per every \$100,000 of of adjusted EBITDA	US \$						We define investments in local communities as donations made directly to education and economic development organizations. Note: 2019-2021 contribution values were
2.14 3 invested in local communities her exert \$200,000 or or adjusted EDLDW	02.5	\$17	\$26	\$34	\$760	\$687	amended and updated for this report



EIC/GPA Midstream ESG Reporting Template

MIDSTREAM

Developed by the Energy Infrastructure Council in collaboration with GPA Midstream Association

Version 2.0 Effective March 2022

Parent Company:
Operating Company:

Enterprise Products Partners L.P. Enterprise Products Operating LLC

12/18/2024

Enteprise Products Investor Relations
ontact(s): Phone: (866) 230-0745

Email: investor.relations@eprod.com

Membership: EIC, GPA Midstream, Both, Neither: B

Email: invest

	overnance							
	oversity versity							
	directors that are female	%	27%	18%	18%	18%	18%	
	corporate officers (VP and up) that are female	%	14%	14%	15%	16%	13%	
	directors from minority groups (EEOC defined)	%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
4.4 9	corporate officers (VP and up) from minority groups (EEOC defined)	%	8%	8%	7%	8%	8%	
4.5 I	any director under the age of 50?	Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No	
	irectors							
4.6 9	independent directors	%	55%	55%	55%	55%	55%	
	ow many directors received less than 80% votes cast in favor when running unopposed in last 5 years?	#	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	oes the company have a formal ESG oversight structure with associated accountability?	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	See EPD Sustainability Report / Supplement
	oes the company have directors with risk management experience?	Yes/No						For a discussion on director experience, please see our 10-K filing.
	ompensation							
	as the company received less than 70% support for Say On Pay in any of the last 5 years?	Yes/No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	We have not had a Say on Pay vote in the last 5 years.
	/hat % of CEO target pay is performance-based?	%	82%	58%	81%	83%	84%	We do not have defined target pay. Responses reflect actuals: sum of bonus and equity-based awards as a % of total compensation. A discussion of factors considered in
	/hat % of CEO target pay is equity-based?	%	55%	37%	50%	55%	55%	executive compensation is included in our 10-K filing.
	re there any shareholder return metrics (total return, return on invested capital, etc.) in any NEO equity compensation plan?	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
	at least 10% of Named Executive Officer (NEO) short-term incentive (STI) or long-term incentive (LTI) linked to E or S metrics?	Yes/No	N/A No	N/A No	N/A No	N/A No	N/A No.	E & S metrics are considered without a set % weight assigned to each metric.
	oes the company tie any amount of pay for management and/or employees to ESG objectives? hare Ownership	Yes/No	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	ESG metrics are considered in incentive compensation for employees without a set % weight.
	•	y (h)			.,		.,	
	ave any corporate officers or directors made share purchases with personal funds in the last 5 years?	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
	oard Oversight /hich of these data sets are collected and shared with board?							
4.16.1	vinch or tinese data sets are collected and shared with boardar Voluntary employee turnover company-wide and by at least one additional level (e.g. business unit, location, or division)	Yes/No	V	Yes	V	V	V	This information is about with a rank or fish a house of discrete thought would be a second by the control of t
4.16.1		Yes/No	Yes Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	This information is shared with members of the board of directors through weekly Management Reports, but not with BOD in its entirely. This information is shared with members of the board of directors through weekly Management Reports, but not with BOD in its entirely.
4.16.2	% of employees who participate in company sponsored matching gift programs and/or volunteer for corporate sponsored charitable events Gender Pay Ratio	Yes/No	No.	No.	Yes No	No.	No.	This information is shared with members of the board of directors through weekly Management keports, but not with Bob in its entirely.
4.16.3	Genuer Fay hauto Underlying data from an employee satisfaction survey that is anonymous and at least annual	Yes/No	No No	No No	No No	No	No No	
	upply Chain	Tes/NO	INO	INO	IVO	IVO	INO	
	oes the company require suppliers to sign off on a code of conduct or equivalent codes?	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Contractors and vendors in our supply chain confirm their acknowledgement of our Code of Conduct in service and/or contingent labor agreements.
	ybersecurity							
	oes the company undertake any of the following to manage cybersecurity risk?							
4.18.1	Mandatory employee training	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
4.18.2	Adherence to industry cybersecurity standards	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
4.18.3	Ongoing evaluation of the threat landscape	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
4.19 [oes the company publish an annual proxy statement? If no, expand for more metrics (click "+" to the left)	Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No	Not required as a Limited Partnership
4.19.1	Does the company have an IDR structure?	Yes/No	No	No	No	No	No	
4.19.2	What is the ownership structure of the General Partner?	Externally or Sponsor-owned / Wholly owned by the MLP / other	External/Other	External/Other	External/Other	External/Other	External/Other	
4.19.3	What % of the Limited Partnership board is elected by unit holders?	%	0	0	0	0	0	Not required per our Partnership Agreement
4.19.4	What level of detail does the Limited Partnership publicly provide regarding compensation of named executives?	Full, Partial, None	Full	Full	Full	Full	Full	
4.19.5	Does the Limited Partnership have stock ownership guidelines in place for the CEO? If yes,	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
4.19.5.1	What multiple of the CEO's base salary is he or she required to own in Limited Partnership units?	x times / N/A	3X	3X	3X	3X	3X	
4.19.6	Does the Limited Partnership have stock ownership guidelines in place for directors? If yes,	Yes/No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
4.19.6.1	If directors receive an annual cash retainer, what multiple of such annual cash retainer is he or she required to own in Limited Partnership units?	x times / N/A	3X	3X	3X	3X	3X	
4.19.6.2	What multiple of the GP's indepdendent director's annual cash retainer is he or she required to own in Limited Partnership units?	x times / N/A	3X	3X	3X	3X	3X	

This data table is for informational purposes only. Enterprise makes no representation or warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of the data contained herein. Enterprise has no obligation or duty to (1) updated, changed, deleted or supplemented from time to time without notice. The data should not be interpreted as any form of guaranty or assurance of future results or trends. Unless otherwise provided, this table, is expressly not incorporated by reference into any filing of Enterprise made with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, or any other filing, report, application or statement made by Enterprise to any federal, state, tribal, or local governmental authority.

This template contains forward-looking statements based on the beliefs of the company, as well as assumptions made by, and information published by third parties). When used in this presentation, words such as "anticipate," "poject," "expect," "goal," "estimate," "forecast," "intend," "could," "would," "woul

N/A means "not applicable" or "not available".